

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/600,541	Applicant(s) SAKATA ET AL.	
	Examiner Joseph D. Torres	Art Unit 2133	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) John Mattingly.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A telephone call was made to John Mattingly on 24 May 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: New Case

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:00pm

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)